# KV6002 Assessment 1 Terms of Reference Module Specific Assessment Rubric

Each group member should produce the documentation for their designated area of responsibility. The group should produce the documents indicated as group tasks.

### 1. Project Idea (20 Group Marks)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Rating | **Description of Quality** |
| 18-20 | Difficult to fault. An exceptionally clear vision of the system is provided. A real need from an exceptionally well-defined stakeholder community is articulated. The proposed system is appropriately and extremely clearly divided into the correct number of main functional subcomponents. At the high level, the subcomponents display a parity of challenge and complexity. Which group member is responsible for each subcomponent is clearly defined. The plan for defining the system is appropriate, achievable, and very clear. Comprehensively addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. |
| 16-17 | An outstanding and clear vision of the system is provided. A real need from an outstandingly well-defined stakeholder community is articulated. The proposed system is appropriately divided and into the correct number of main functional subcomponents. At the high level, the subcomponents display a parity of challenge and complexity. Which group member is responsible for each subcomponent is defined. Any group elements are highlighted. Comprehensively addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. The plan for defining the system is appropriate, achievable, and very clear. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. Improvements may be possible, but these are very minor, and the document is usable as it is. |
| 14-15 | An excellent and clear vision of the system is provided. A real need from an excellently defined stakeholder community is articulated. The proposed system is clearly and appropriately divided into the correct number of main functional subcomponents. At the high level the subcomponents display a parity of challenge and complexity. Which group member is responsible for each subcomponent is defined. Any group elements are highlighted. Comprehensively addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. The plan for defining the system is appropriate, achievable, and very clear. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. Improvements may be possible, but these are minor and the document is usable as it is. |
| 13-14 | A clear vision of the system is provided. A real need from a defined stakeholder community is articulated. The proposed system is appropriately divided into the correct number of main functional subcomponents. At the high level, the subcomponents display a parity of challenge and complexity. Which group member is responsible for each subcomponent is defined. Any group elements are highlighted. Addresses the areas with some thought and evidence of relevant research. The plan for defining the system is appropriate, achievable, and clear. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. Improvements will be possible, but the document is usable as it is. However, the group is encouraged to consider making the improvements. |
| 11-12 | A satisfactory vision of the system is provided. A need from a stakeholder community is articulated. The proposed system is appropriately divided into the correct number of main functional subcomponents. At the high level, the subcomponents display some parity of challenge and complexity. Which group member is responsible for each subcomponent is defined. Any group elements are highlighted. There is evidence of some relevant research. The plan for defining the system is satisfactory, although may need minor adjustment. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. Improvements will be possible, but the majority of the document is usable as it is. The group is strongly encouraged to consider making the improvements. |
| 9-10 | A poor but satisfactory vision of the system is provided. An attempt has been made to articulate the need from a stakeholder community. The proposed system is divided into the number of main functional subcomponents. There may be issues with parity of challenge and complexity. Which group member is responsible for each subcomponent is defined. Any group elements are highlighted. The plan for defining the system has been provided but is likely to need a rethink. There is evidence of some relevant research. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. Improvements / rework is required. |
| 7-8 | An unsatisfactory vision of the system. A clear attempt to address the question has been made. Either the vision is barely recognisable as a vision or the content is seriously flawed, or both. Significant amounts of improvements / rework are required. |
| 4-6 | Either the vision is not recognisable as a vision or the content is seriously flawed, or both. Overall, a very unsatisfactory vision. A very limited attempt to address the question. A resubmission is expected. |
| 1-3 | Not meaningfully addressed. A minimal attempt or the task has been seriously misunderstood. |

**2. Define how you are going to complete the project in terms of the Project Tasks and Deliverables (30 group marks)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Description of Quality** |
| 27-30 | Extremely comprehensively addresses all the expected areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the task and deliverables. Difficult to fault. |
| 24-26 | Comprehensively addresses all the expected areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the task and deliverables. Any improvements are very minor. Overall outstanding. |
| 21-23 | Comprehensively addresses all the expected areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the task and deliverables. Any improvements are minor. Overall excellent. |
| 18-20 | Strongly addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a good approach has been taken when specifying the tasks and deliverables. There are some suggestions for improvement which would be of benefit however it is still workable as it is. Overall good. |
| 15-17 | Satisfactorily addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a sensible approach has been taken when specifying the tasks and deliverables. Presentation of information is satisfactory. There are some suggestions for improvement which the group is strongly encouraged to make. Overall satisfactory. |
| 12-14 | Weak but satisfactorily addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a reasonable (if weak) approach has been taken when specifying the tasks and deliverables. The presentation of information may be poor. There are some suggestions for improvement that the group is expected to make. Overall satisfactory (just). |
| 9-11 | Unsatisfactorily addresses the areas without thought and/or relevant research. Lacking in thought and relevant research AND/OR lacking a professional approach when specifying the tasks and deliverables in some areas. In need of significant rework. Not satisfactory. |
| 6-8 | A very limited attempt, lacking thought and relevant research. Indicates an unprofessional approach to specifying the tasks and deliverables. Very weak. |
| 0-5 | Not meaningfully addressed. A minimal attempt or the task has been seriously misunderstood. |

### 2. Personas for the intended users of the system (20 Group Marks)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Rating | **Description of Quality** |
| 18-20 | An extremely clear specification of the personas is provided. The personas have been created with appropriate detail. The personas are believable as users of the system. The personas offer a complimentary and appropriate set of users that will clearly support development decisions. The expected format is employed. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken and covers aspects of Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues. Insightful. |
| 16-17 | An outstandingly clear specification of the personas is provided. The personas have been created with appropriate detail. The personas are believable as users of the system. The personas offer a complimentary and appropriate set of users that will support development decisions. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken and covers aspects of Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues. Only very minor improvements may be possible, but they document is usable as it is. |
| 14-15 | A clear specification of the of the personas provided is provided. The personas have been created with appropriate detail. The personas are believable as users of the system. The personas offer a complimentary and appropriate set of users that will clearly support development decisions. The expected format is employed. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken and covers aspects of Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues. Minor improvements may be possible, but the document is usable as it is. |
| 13-14 | A good attempt at creating the personas. They have been created with appropriate detail, however they may not be completely believable as users of the system. The personas offer a complimentary and appropriate set of users, however there may be some minor modifications required before they are usable to support development decisions. Follows the expected format. Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues are addressed but not as comprehensively as they could be. |
| 11-12 | A satisfactory attempt at creating the personas. They have been created with some detail, however they may not be completely believable as users of the system. The personas offer a complimentary and appropriate set of users, however there may be some minor modifications required before they are usable to support development decisions. Follows the expected format. Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues are addressed but there are clear gaps. |
| 9-10 | A weak attempt at creating the personas. They have been created with some level of detail, however they may not be completely believable as users of the system. The personas may not be a complimentary set of users and there will be some minor modifications required before they are usable to support development decisions. Follows the expected format. Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues are addressed but there are significant gaps. |
| 7-8 | Unsatisfactory attempt at creating the personas. They have either been created without enough detail AND/OR they may be inappropriate given the system. The personas are likely not to be a complimentary set of users and there will be some significant modifications required before they are usable to support development decisions, however there is something to build upon. |
| 4-6 | Unsatisfactory attempt at creating the personas. They have either been created without enough detail AND/OR they may be inappropriate given the system. The personas are not a complimentary set of users and there will be some significant modifications required before they are usable to support development decisions, however there is something to build upon but not a lot. |
| 1-3 | No meaningful attempt, lacking thought and relevant research. Indicates an unprofessional approach to specifying the personas and potential users of the system. |

### Separately, for your individual subsystem you need to document the stakeholder stories for your subsystem (30 Individual Marks)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Description of Quality** |
| 27-30 | An extremely clear specification of the stakeholder stories of the subcomponent is provided. The stories have been appropriately prioritised, appear achievable, and provide a cohesive whole. The level of challenge is appropriate and the expected syntax is employed. An exceptionally clear vision of the subsystem is provided  The plan for the subsystem is appropriate, achievable, and very clear. Comprehensively addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. |
| 24-26 | An outstandingly clear specification of the stakeholder stories of the subcomponent is provided. The stories have been appropriately prioritised, appear achievable, and provide a cohesive whole. The level of challenge is appropriate and the expected syntax is employed. An outstandingly clear vision of the subsystem is provided  The plan for the subsystem is appropriate, achievable, and very clear. Comprehensively addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. Only very minor improvements may be possible, but the document is usable as it is. |
| 21-23 | A clear specification of the stakeholder stories of the subcomponent is provided. The stories have been appropriately prioritised, appear achievable, and provide a cohesive whole. The level of challenge is appropriate and the expected syntax is employed. A clear vision of the subsystem is provided. The plan for the subsystem is appropriate, achievable, and very clear. Comprehensively addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a professional approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. Minor improvements may be possible, but the document is usable as it is. |
| 18-20 | A strong attempt at the specification of the stakeholder stories for the subcomponent. The stories have been appropriately prioritised, appear achievable, and provide a cohesive whole. The level of challenge is appropriate, and the expected syntax is employed. An appropriate vision of the subsystem is provided. The plan for the subsystem is appropriate, achievable, and very clear. Comprehensively addresses the areas with thought and relevant research. Demonstrates that a good approach has been taken when specifying the terms of reference. There may be some minor modifications required before the document is usable. |
| 15-17 | A satisfactory attempt at the specification of the stakeholder stories for the subcomponent. Demonstrates that a sensible approach has been taken and the presentation is satisfactory. May have not followed the expected syntax for stories. Some stories probably missing but obvious ones are present. A satisfactory attempt at providing the vision of the subsystem is provided. There may be some major modifications required to be necessary before the document is usable. However, the vast majority is appropriate. |
| 12-14 | A weak but satisfactory attempt at the specification of the stakeholder stories for the subcomponent. Demonstrates that a sensible approach has been taken and the presentation is satisfactory It is likely a number of significant adjustments are required to be made. However, the majority of the stories are present and acceptable. A satisfactory attempt at providing the vision of the subsystem is provided. There may be some major modifications required to be necessary before the document is usable. However, the vast majority is appropriate. |
| 9-11 | An unsatisfactory attempt at the specification of the stakeholder stories for the subcomponent. Either does not demonstrate that a sensible approach has been taken AND/OR the presentation is unsatisfactory so that it is unclear what the intended plan is. The majority of the stories will require a significant rework. A satisfactory attempt at providing the vision of the subsystem is provided, however it will need a significant rework before the document is usable, however there is something to build upon. |
| 6-8 | An unsatisfactory attempt at the specification of the stakeholder stories for the subcomponent. Either does not demonstrate that a sensible approach has been taken AND/OR the presentation is unsatisfactory so that it is unclear what the intended plan is. The majority of the stories will require a significant rework. A satisfactory attempt at providing the vision of the subsystem is provided, however it will need a significant rework before the document is usable, however there is something to build upon but not a lot. |
| 0-5 | No meaningful attempt, lacking thought and relevant research. Indicates an unprofessional approach to specifying the project terms of reference. |